ACM SIGPLAN Publishing model for PLDI

SIGPLAN is considering a proposal to publish the proceedings of PLDI as a special issue of TOPLAS every year starting in 2016. This proposal would not change the way PLDI papers are reviewed, or the possibility to resubmit extended versions of PLDI papers to journals (including TOPLAS).

The motivation for this proposal is that while the quality of papers in top SIGPLAN conferences such as PLDI is on par with the quality of journal papers in other disciplines, the fact that they are published in venues labelled as a "conference" can disadvantage CS researchers when they are compared to colleagues in other fields of science.

Part of the SIGPLAN decision process is to gather some feedback from members of the community. While other conferences may follow suit, the present poll focuses PLDI.

* Required

. toquilou
1. I agree with the principle of publishing PLDI as an issue of TOPLAS: * Mark only one oval. Yes No Undecided
2. The following other conferences should be considered for special issues of TOPLAS: Check all that apply. POPL ICFP OOPSLA
3. Publishing papers in journal is expected by my employer: Mark only one oval. Yes No
 4. I have published the following number of papers at PLDI: Mark only one oval. 0 1 2-5 6-10 11+

5.	I have published the following number of Journal papers: Mark only one oval.
	O
	1
	2-5
	6-10
	11+
6.	I choose to publish in Journals for the following reasons:
7.	I would like my papers to be open access: Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	○ No
	Oon't care
8.	Seniority Mark only one oval.
	Student or Postdoc Junior researcher or Pre-tenure academic
	Senior researcher or Post-tenure academic Senior researcher or Post-tenure academic
9.	I work in the following country:
10.	I work in an academic institution: Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No

Powered by
Google Forms