ECOOP Publisher Change

Yes

No

ECOOP is considering whether to switch from Springer LNCS to Dagstuhl's LIPIcs imprint. LIPIcs offers free and barrierless downloads; very low Open Access charges (15 EUR/paper); archiving with the German National Library; and leaves copyright with the author, so your content is free for you to reuse later (e.g., in books and other publications).

* Required 1. How many times have you submitted to ECOOP? * Mark only one oval. First time A few times but not many Consider myself a "regular" 2. Are you an AITO member? Mark only one oval. No Yes 3. The use of LNCS determines my submitting to ECOOP: Mark only one oval. Heavily in favor Lightly in favor No real difference Slightly against Heavily against 4. I know the rules that govern posting copies of LNCS papers on personal or institutional sites. * Mark only one oval. 2

Always in con	nplete a	ccord wi	ith publi	sher poli	cies
Sometimes in	comple	te accor	rd with p	ublisher	policies
Rarely or nev	er in acc	cord with	n publish	ner polici	es
I don't really k	know wh	at the p	ublisher	s' policie	s are
I don't post co	pies of p	papers			
•	g free co	pies of	f papers	availab	le online helps other acad
Mark only one oval.					
	1	2	3	4	
Not important at all					Profoundly important
vot important at an					- Tolouridly important
Do you think having	g free co	pies of	f papers	availab	le online helps people in
Mark only one oval.					
	á	•	•		
	1	2	3	4	
		opies of	f papers		Profoundly important le online helps society as
		opies of	f papers		
o you think having		opies of	f papers		
Oo you think having	g free co			availab	
Oo you think having Mark only one oval.	g free co			availab	le online helps society as
Oo you think having Mark only one oval.	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as
Oo you think having Mark only one oval.	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important
Oo you think having Mark only one oval. Not important at all	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important
Oo you think having Mark only one oval. Not important at all Vould you support Mark only one oval.	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important
Not important at all Would you support Mark only one oval. Yes	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important
Not important at all Vould you support Mark only one oval. Yes No	g free co	2	3	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important
Not important at all Nould you support Mark only one oval. Yes No I don't care	free co	2 P switch	3 aing to a	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important Open Access publisher?
Not important at all Would you support Mark only one oval. Yes No I don't care	free co	2 P switch	3 aing to a	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important Open Access publisher?
No you think having Mark only one oval. Not important at all Vould you support Mark only one oval. Yes No I don't care Vould you support Mark only one oval.	g free co	2 P switch	3 ing to a	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important Open Access publisher?
No you think having Mark only one oval. Not important at all Vould you support Mark only one oval. Yes No I don't care Vould you support	free co	2 P switch	3 aing to a	availab	le online helps society as Profoundly important Open Access publisher?

5. I post copies of papers on my personal or institutional site: *

11.	Would you support switching next year (2016)? Mark only one oval.	
	Yes	
	◯ No	
12.	Would you support switching this year (2015)? Mark only one oval.	
	Yes	
	No	
-	Comments Any comments that you think may help us with the decision	on process would be most appreciated.
	-	

